Hillary-Proofing

Intro: We have about 15 months to prepare voters to reject Hillary Clinton.

A. Thus far, who is Hillary's most effective critic among GOP candidates? *Carly Fiorina*.

Here's why: <u>Carly Fiorina has aimed directly at Hillary's strengths</u>. What Hillary touts as "achievement," Carly derides as "activity." Travel isn't an achievement; it's an activity. Holding a position isn't an achievement; it's an activity. Being a woman isn't an achievement—or even an activity. What matters is what you DO as a woman travelling in your position.

- B. What can we do about Hillary's strengths?
 - 1. For starters, understand people's reasons for supporting Hillary:
 - a. She's a woman.
 - b. She'll prolong the redistribution of wealth.
 - c. She will assemble the most anti-Christian Supreme Court ever imagined.
 - 2. Praise motives (not positions) if possible:
 - a. Woman: Hey, after over 2 centuries without a woman president, we're due.
 - b. People are in need, and Hillary promises more from the rich.
 - c. Supreme Court: No one wants religion imposed on them.
 - 3. Then, disarm those reasons:
 - a. **A candidate can't help being born as a guy.** We've all seen people lose positions because they didn't fit the "type" some boss wanted. I hope we'll rise above that when choosing a president.
 - b. *Hillary's ideas already dominate cities and states where more are in need.*Here's the problem: Take more from the rich, and you drive off the rich.
 - c. I don't want *anyone* forcing their views on other people through the courts. *But Christians are almost never the truly aggressive ones.*
 - i. They don't seek out gay bakeries to force participation in events they oppose. They don't force pro-choice activists to pay taxes toward their choices. They almost never want public schools forcing your kids to learn their values, politics, or views on sex.
 - ii. Here's the question: Do you want courts going after aggressive people, or just people you dislike? Courts should protect all people—including Christians—from having others impose their priorities.
- C. What can we do about Hillary's weaknesses?
 - 1. Many moderate Americans will consider voting against her because of:
 - a. Corruption.

- b. Obama failure and fatigue.
- 2. <u>Use Hillary's corruption</u>. Expose Hillary scandal issues with <u>quick, simple</u> points:
 - a. <u>Benghazi</u>: Who makes up a story about YouTube protests when knowing full well Americans just got killed in a *terrorist attack?* She knowingly lied to us!
 - b. E-Mails: (This works best personalized—use an example where the listener has either been wronged or knows someone who has been wronged. Suppose someone was cheated by a contractor named "Bob") What if Bob could pick and choose what evidence could be seen by investigators? Would you like that? Well, Hillary got to pick and choose what emails investigators could see. Then she deleted the rest—over 30,000 of them. Then she says, "There's no evidence I did anything wrong." Huh?
 - i. What defendant wipes her hard drive clean to prevent investigators from seeing what she didn't release? Could you do that?
 - ii. In light of Benghazi and massive foreign donations to her foundation, should Hillary have been allowed to erase all the evidence?
- 3. Mother Hillary: Dealing with the biggest defense.
 - a. Most use the Stephanopoulos excuse for Clinton Cash: It's for charity!
 - b. Answer: The Clinton Foundation is not a charity, period.
 - c. Compare Hillary to everyone's favorite charitable figure ever: Mother Teresa
 - i. The Clinton Foundation only directs about 10% of donations to the stated charities. *Mother Teresa never pocketed 90%.*
 - ii. Mother Teresa also never rewarded donations with greatly increased weapons contracts.
 - iii. Mother Teresa also never received donations from press leaders who were going to be reporting on her presidential campaign.
 - iv. As for the trifling of money that makes it to charitable causes, **even mob bosses use legitimate businesses.** They're called "fronts."
 - v. To sum up: When 90% of "donations" go to "overhead," and when most of those donations come from nations wanting weapons and cronies wanting favors, the remaining 10% is a front—not charity.

Mother Hillary isn't a charitable foundation. Mother Hillary is a check-cashing, influence-selling, public-deceiving, crooked politician.